a story written in Lisp

Tiago Maduro Dias
Luís Borges de Oliveira
Agenda

- Story so far
- Problem
- Products
- Lisp
Foundation (1986)

- Office
  - 90 square meters
- Staff
  - Two founders
    - No salary
    - Did everything
  - Some part-time programmers
  - One full-time secretary
- Two Explorer Lisp machines with KEE
- One dream, looking for a goal
- First software exportation in Portuguese history (NS, 1993)
Our Clients

- London Underground
- Lisbon Metro
- Suburban trains of Copenhagen
- Barcelona Metro
- Dutch Railways
- Finnish Railways
- Norwegian Railways
- Danish Railways

Company with largest number of systems in production in the rail domain
SISCOG (1986-2013)
Present

Sales (€)
- Projected 7M€ surpassed in 2012

Staff (nr.)
- > 100
- 95% with studies in engineering and IT
Present

- Offices in Lisbon and Oporto
- Over 100 full-time employees
- Product-based company playing in a niche market
- Customers are railways and subway companies
- Our competitors are german, swedish, canadian and dutch
  (world championship)
Language Distribution (May 2013)

- Lisp: 83.15%
- Javascript: 5.72%
- C: 5.84%
- Markup: 2.23%
- Other: 3.06%
The job

• Customers **supply transportation services**
  • Meet certain transportation demands
• Transportation services need **resources**
  • Track, stations, vehicles, crew, etc.
• Job 1: make an **operational plan**
  • Assign resources to services in an optimized way
• Job 2: **adjust the plan** because some resources become suddenly unavailable for a certain period of time
  • Track, vehicles, crew, etc.
• **SISCOG provides tools** for these complex jobs (performed by experts)
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Global perspective

Fully customisable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESOURCES</th>
<th>PLANS</th>
<th>PHASES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIMETABLE</td>
<td>PRODUCTIVITY</td>
<td>STRATEGIC PLANNING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROLLING STOCK</td>
<td>ROBUSTNESS</td>
<td>LONG-TERM PLANNING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAFF</td>
<td>SATISFACTION</td>
<td>SHORT-TERM PLANNING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMPANY’S STRATEGIES</td>
<td>REAL-TIME DISPATCHING (DAY-OF-OPERATION)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CONSTRAINTS AND RULES</td>
<td>CONTROL OF SERVICES PROVIDED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Products

ONTIME
Planning and Management of Timetables (Track & Time)

FLEET
Planning and Management of Rolling Stock

CREWS
Planning and Management of Personnel
Complete integration, for all phases and resources
CREWS Demo
Case study: Dutch Railways (NS)

• Main train operator in Holland
• Ridership > 1M pass/day and > 5M pass/km/year
• 4,700 train trips per day
• Network with 2,350 km
• 5,200 crew members
  • 2,500 drivers
  • 2,700 guards
• Snow problems
Case study: Dutch Railways (NS)

- In production since 1998
- **Intensive use of optimisation** support
- 6% reduction in crew members (drivers and guards)
  - 12M€ annual savings
- 60% reduction in planners
- Reduction in planning time
  - Few days vs. several months
- Only **15-20 minutes to react to accidents**
- Very “**social duties**”
  - Fair work assignments among workers
  - Non repetitive work
Case study: London Underground (LUL)

- Ridership > 3.3M pass/day
- Network with 400 Km
  - 11 separate lines
- Planned staff: > 3,000 drivers
- Before purchase
  - 100% manual planning
  - 13 weeks to compile a schedule
  - 15 planners needed
  - Training time: 3 to 5 years
Case study: London Underground (LUL)

- In production since 2008
- Intensive use of optimisation support
- Northern Line
  - Increase robustness of plans, while keeping the same number of drivers
  - Overtime reduced 90%, from £1,000,000 down to £120,000 per year
  - Fewer delayed trains, happier passengers
- Piccadilly Line
  - Reduced number of drivers, while keeping the robustness of the plan
  - Increased schedule efficiency saves £200,000 per year
- London Olympics
Why Lisp?

- Founders were **PhDs on AI**
  - Learned Lisp (1978)
  - Worked on Knowledge Representation
- **AI Boom**
  - AI-based companies
- **Wanted to tackle a difficult problem using AI**
  - Failure of OR to solve the difficult problems of transportation companies
  - **AI looked promising**
- **SIS**temas **COG**nitivos (Cognitive Systems)
Lisp Machines

- 21st century technology
  - Effective garbage collection
  - Object-oriented environments
  - Windowing systems
  - Computer mice
  - High-resolution bit-mapped graphics
  - Computer graphic rendering
  - Networking innovations and protocols
  - Laser printing
  - Interactive programming environment

- Partnership with Sperry in the commercialisation in Portugal
- KEE was Lisp Machine specific
  - Fast development of expert systems
Hardware comes and goes, Lisp stays

- **ZetaLisp** / TI Explorer, TI Explorer II (1986-1992)
  - Flavors
  - Implemented bits of ZetaLisp and Flavors on top of CL and PCL to ease porting
- **Lucid Common Lisp** / UNIX (1992)
  - For a while development still took place on the Explorers
  - Reused GUI on top of CLX
  - KEE abandoned in favour of SiKE
- **Allegro Common Lisp** / UNIX (1992-1997)
- **Allegro Common Lisp** / Windows (1997 onwards)
  - Common Graphics
Lisp-related anecdotes at SISCOG

- Examples of how we use Lisp
- Factoids about SISCOG’s Lispers
How Lisp is perceived at SISCOG

“Lisp: great language or the greatest language?”

- **great**: 80.00%
- **the greatest**: 12.00%
- **not particularly fond of Lisp**: 8.00%

“Did you know how to program in Lisp before joining SISCOG?”

- **yes**: 58.00%
- **no**: 42.00%
Interactive development and prototyping

• [Inspect] + REPL manipulation
• Incremental compilation
  • Complex system, stays live for weeks during development
  • Hard time imagining how to do it any other way
  • Enables real-time bug fixing on systems with uptime demands running on the client
• Developing alongside the client
  • Fast write/compile/test cycle even more important if the client is staring at you
  • Optimises the limited time we can spend 1-on-1 with clients
• Leads to a better product at the end
“Emacs: great editor or the greatest editor?”

- **Great**: 50.00%
- **The greatest**: 32.00%
- **Not particularly fond of Emacs**: 16.00%
- **NR**: 2.00%
“SLIME or ELI?”

- Don't know what SLIME or ELI are: 18.00%
- Don't know what SLIME is: 0.00%
- ELI: 34.00%
- NR: 14.00%
- SLIME: 34.00%
Emacs

• Everybody uses GNU Emacs

• Patches
  • Based on file/system dependencies
  • Move definitions to the appropriate patch via context menu
  • Straightforward sending of bug fixes to clients
  • Applications load patch files (fasls) during startup

• Documentation and Change Log management
  • Javadoc-style headers
  • Post-processed to HyperSpec-style manual
SiKE

• SISCOG’s Knowledge Environment
• Implementation of important KEE features
  • Relations
  • Worlds
  • Interned objects
  • Other OO features mostly superseded by CLOS
SiKE (example)

(sike:defclass group ()
 ((people :accessor people-of)))

(sike:defclass person ()
 ((name :accessor name-of)
  (group :accessor group-of)))
SiKE (example)

\[(\text{sike:} \text{defclass} \ \text{group} ()
   \quad ((\text{people} : \text{accessor} \ \text{people-of}
       : \text{relation} (\text{person} \ \text{group}) : \text{type} : \text{list})))))

(\text{sike:} \text{defclass} \ \text{person} ()
   \quad ((\text{name} : \text{accessor} \ \text{name-of})
       (\text{group} : \text{accessor} \ \text{group-of})))}
SiKE (example)

(sike:defclass group ()
 ((people :accessor people-of
     :relation (person group) :type :list)))

(sike:defclass person ()
 ((name :accessor name-of)
  (group :accessor group-of
     :relation (group people) :type :atom)))
SiKE (example)

(sike:defclass group ()
  ((people :accessor people-of
    :relation (person group) :type :list
    :worlds t)))

(sike:defclass person ()
  ((name :accessor name-of
    :worlds t)
   (group :accessor group-of
    :relation (group people) :type :atom
    :worlds t)))
SiKE (example)

(defparameter *the-beatles* (make-instance ‘group))

(defparameter *the-60s* (make-instance ‘sike:world))

(within-world *the-60s*
  (dolist (name ‘(“Paul” “George” “John” “Ringo”))
    (make-instance ‘person :name name :group *the-beatles*)))

(people-of *the-beatles*))

⇒(#<P “Paul”> #<P “George”> #<P “John”> #<P “Ringo”>)
SiKE (example)

```lisp
(defparameter *today*
  (make-instance 'sike:world :parent *the-60s*))

(within-world *today*
  (setf (group-of *john*) nil)
  (setf (group-of *george*) nil)
  (people-of *the-beatles*))
=> (#<P “Paul”> #<P “Ringo”>)

(within-world *the-60s*
  (people-of *the-beatles*))
=> (#<P “John”> #<P “George”> #<P “Paul”> #<P “Ringo”>)
SiKE (application)

Same object (EQ)  ➔  World A  ➔  World B
JavaScript Bridge

- Motivation: extend GUI with HTML and JavaScript
  - Seamless for the end-user
  - Nice widgets like jQuery UI accordions (collapsible areas)
- Bidirectional communication
- Attached to IE, Windows and Common Graphics
JavaScript Bridge (Lisp side)

- Motivation: update content without full refresh
- Evaluate JS from Lisp

(js-eval widget (ps (alert "Hello JS World!")))

- Poking JS objects from Lisp
  ```lisp
  var x = { foo: 0,
            getFoo: function () { return this.foo; },
            setFoo: function (v) { this.foo = v; } } ;

  (let ((x (js-eval widget "x")))
    (ole:auto-method x "getFoo") ; => 0
    (ole:auto-method x "setFoo" 1)
    (ole:auto-getf x "foo") ; => 1
    (setf (ole:auto-getf "foo") 42)) ; x.foo === 42
  ```

- Maybe in the future: more sophisticated proxy objects via the MOP
JavaScript Bridge (JS side)

- Motivation: get/set printing options, apply data filters, jump to objects
- Evaluate Lisp from JS

```
window.external.readEval('(cg:message "Hello World!")');
```

- HTML widget holds an easily referenceable object

```
var result = window.external.readEval('(frob *object*)');
```

- Maybe in the future, make Lisp objects scriptable
  - Wrap them in an IDispatch object
  - Convert `<obj>.getFoo(...)` to `(get-foo <obj> ...)"
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## Problem

### Hard (357)
- Balancing (3)
- Route Compliance (6)
- Last Service Trip Start Time (6)
- Vehicle inversion (12)
- Network Inspection (126)
- Track Occupation (43)
- Network Activation (6)
- Parking (42)
- Maximum time between exploration service trips (113)

### Soft (4)

Close
Parking (4)

- Too many vehicles in station Fom; Frequency: 5(); Service trip: 10.S [11:52&; 13:31]; Not in exploration parking: 8; Total parking: 6; Available parking: 5; Occupied parking: 6
- Too many vehicles in station Fom; Frequency: 5(); Service trip: 22.S [11:52&; 13:31]; Not in exploration parking: 8; Total parking: 6; Available parking: 5; Occupied parking: 6
Open-Source Involvement

- SISCOG has traditionally used **commercial Lisps** along with their **commercial libraries**
  - Notable exceptions: Emacs and SLIME
- **Increasing use of OSS** in in-house projects and prototypes
  - ASDF, Alexandria, Vecto, Stefil, CL-Graph
  - YASON, CL-WHO, CL-HTML-DIFF
“Have you ever used an open-source Lisp library?”

- Yes: 40.00%
- No: 60.00%
“Have you ever used Quicklisp?”

- Yes: 18.00%
- No: 44.00%
- I don't know what Quicklisp is: 36.00%
- NR: 2.00%
Things we like about ACL

- IDE: trace dialog, profiler, class browser
- Fast compiler
  - Fast code too, given sufficient hand-holding
  - Downside: very few warnings
- GC fast enough for GUI
- Multiprocessing (SMP)
  - Took a while, but it’s here!
  - GC might become an issue due to Amdahl’s law
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“Would you rather use something other than Lisp?”
Competition with C++

- **OR optimiser** written in C++ for historical reasons
  - “Floating-point operations in Lisp are too slow!”
- Recent experiments demonstrated **boxing** can be avoided
  - Simple arrays of floats
  - Floating-point intensive bits of code contained in one function
- Critical parts of the process **migrating to Lisp**
- Some modules with **C-like performance** and others with **CLOS flexibility**
Conclusions

• Lisp has been giving SISCOG a 21\textsuperscript{st} century development environment for 27 years
• Even today, how many mature languages provide Lisp’s flexibility, performance and interactivity?
• Developers are happy
• Clients are happy
• More chapters to come… Written in Lisp
Thank you
Obrigado